

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 OAKLAND DIVISION

6 RESOLUTE FOREST PRODUCTS, INC.,)
7 RESOLUTE FP US, INC., RESOLUTE FP)
8 AUGUSTA, LLC, FIBREK GENERAL)
9 INTERNATIONAL, INC., and RESOLUTE)
10 FP CANADA, INC.,)

Case Number: 4:17-CV-02824-JST (KAW)

11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 GREENPEACE INTERNATIONAL, (aka)
14 "GREENPEACE STITCHING COUNCIL"),)
15 GREENPEACE, INC., GREENPEACE)
16 FUND, INC., FORESTETHICS, DANIEL)
17 BRINDIS, AMY MOAS, MATTHEW)
18 DAGGETT, ROLF SKAR, TODD PAGLIA,)
19 and JOHN AND JANE DOES 1 through 20,)
20 inclusive,)

21 Defendants.)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

[PROPOSED] ORDER

[PROPOSED] ORDER
Case No. 4:17-cv-02824-JST

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	EXTENDED DEADLINE
Fact discovery cut-off	March 29, 2021	November 30, 2021
Expert disclosures	June 18, 2021	February 18, 2022
Expert rebuttal reports	August 16, 2021	April 18, 2022
Expert discovery cut-off	September 13, 2021	May 13, 2022
Dispositive motions	October 8, 2021	June 8, 2022
Pretrial conference statement	January 21, 2022	September 21, 2022
Pretrial conference	January 28, 2022	September 28, 2022
Trial	February 22, 2022	October 24, 2022

This case will be tried to a jury.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of Court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at a trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial dates as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2021


 HON. JON S. TICAR
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
 Case No. 4:17-cv-02824-JST